

Term-Structure Models & Interest Rate Derivatives

**Topics:**

1. Definitions and notation for the interest rate market
2. Term-structure models
3. Interest rate derivatives

**Definitions and Notation**

- *Zero-coupon bond*, or discount bond: asset which pays, with certainty, 1 at time  $T$ . Price at time  $t$  is  $P(t, T)$ .  
 Pull-to-par:  $\lim_{t \rightarrow T} P(t, T) = P(T, T) = 1$ .  
 With fixed rate  $r$ :  $P(t, T) = \exp(-r[T - t])$ .

- *Yield-to-maturity*: average continuously compounded return on zero-coupon bond, over remaining lifetime:

$$\begin{aligned} R(t, T) &= \frac{\log P(T, T) - \log P(t, T)}{T - t} \\ &= -\frac{\log P(t, T)}{T - t}. \end{aligned}$$

With fixed rate,  $R(t, T) = r$ .

- *Yield curve*, term structure: plot of  $R(t, T)$  against  $(T - t)$ . With fixed rate, yield curve is flat line.
- Instantaneous rate, *short rate*:

$$r_t = \lim_{T \downarrow t} R(t, T) = -\left. \frac{\partial \log P(t, T)}{\partial T} \right|_{T=t}.$$

- Forward contract: agreement at time  $t < T$ , to buy an  $S$ -bond at time  $T < S$  at price  $K$ . Replicated by portfolio of +1  $S$ -bond and  $-K$   $T$ -bonds. Value at time  $t$  should be zero, so  $K = P(t, S)/P(t, T)$ . Return (yield) over period  $[T, S]$  is therefore

$$\frac{\log P(S, S) - \log K}{S - T} = -\frac{\log P(t, S) - \log P(t, T)}{S - T}.$$

Note that this return is known at time  $t$ ! The limit, as  $S$  approaches  $T$ , of this return is the *instantaneous forward rate*,

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, T) &= \lim_{S \downarrow T} -\frac{\log P(t, S) - \log P(t, T)}{S - T} \\ &= -\frac{\partial \log P(t, T)}{\partial T}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $f(t, t) = r_t$ . Also,

$$f(t, T) = R(t, T) + (T - t) \frac{\partial R(t, T)}{\partial T}.$$

The term structure may also be described by  $f(t, T)$  as a function of  $(T - t)$ .

- The definitions of  $R(t, T)$  and  $f(t, T)$  in terms of  $P(t, t)$  may be inverted to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P(t, T) &= \exp(-(T - t)R(t, T)) \\ &= \exp\left(-\int_t^T f(t, s)ds\right). \end{aligned}$$

In general,  $P(t, T)$  can *not* be obtained from  $r_t$  alone!

## Term structure models

We only consider single-factor models (one Brownian motion). Ingredients:

- A cash bond  $B_t$  paying the short rate ( $r_t$ ):  $dB_t = r_t B_t dt$ , so  $B_t = \exp\left(\int_0^t r_s ds\right)$ .
- An Itô process for the zero-coupon bonds:

$$\begin{aligned} dP(t, T) &= P(t, T) [\mu_P(t, T)dt + \Sigma(t, T)dW_t] \\ &= P(t, T) \left[ r_t dt + \Sigma(t, T)d\tilde{W}_t \right], \end{aligned}$$

with  $W_t$  a  $\mathbb{P}$ -Brownian motion and  $\tilde{W}_t$  a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Brownian motion. Under  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $P(t, T)/B_t$  is a martingale.

- The SDE for  $P(t, T)$  implies, via Itô's lemma, SDE's for  $R(t, T)$ ,  $f(t, T)$  and  $r_t$ . Heath-Jarrow-Morton start with Itô processes for  $f(t, T)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} df(t, T) &= \alpha(t, T)dt + \sigma(t, T)dW_t \\ &= -\sigma(t, T)\Sigma(t, T)dt + \sigma(t, T)d\tilde{W}_t, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\sigma(t, T) = -\frac{\partial \Sigma(t, T)}{\partial T}, \quad \Sigma(t, T) = -\int_t^T \sigma(t, s)ds,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(t, T) &= -\frac{\partial \mu_P(t, T)}{\partial T} - \sigma(t, T)\Sigma(t, T), \\ \mu_P(t, T) &= r_t - \int_t^T \alpha(t, s)ds + \frac{1}{2}\Sigma(t, T)^2. \end{aligned}$$

- The market price of risk does not depend on  $T$ :

$$\tilde{W}_t = W_t + \int_0^t \gamma_s ds,$$

with

$$\gamma_t = \frac{\mu_P(t, T) - r_t}{\Sigma(t, T)} = \frac{1}{2}\Sigma(t, T) - \frac{1}{\Sigma(t, T)} \int_t^T \alpha(t, s)ds.$$

- Note that under risk-neutrality, everything depends on the term structure of volatilities  $\sigma(t, T)$ .

## Short-rate models

Usually the single factor is associated with the short rate  $r_t$ . Short-rate models are usually formulated in “risk-neutral form”, i.e., as a SDE

$$dr_t = \rho(r_t, t)dt + \nu(r_t, t)d\tilde{W}_t,$$

where  $\tilde{W}_t$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Brownian motion. (Baxter and Rennie denote this by  $W_t$  in Section 5.4).

Let  $B_t = \exp\left(\int_0^t r_s ds\right)$  be the cash bond price. Under the risk-neutral measure,  $P(t, T)/B_t$  is a martingale, so that

$$\begin{aligned} P(t, T) &= B_t \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}} [B_T^{-1} P(T, T) | \mathcal{F}_t] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}} [B_t B_T^{-1} | \mathcal{F}_t] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}} \left[ \exp\left(-\int_t^T r_s ds\right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, a single-factor (risk-neutral) model for  $r_t$  implies  $P(t, T)$ , and hence the term structure, as a function of  $r_t$ .

We distinguish:

- *Endogenous* term structure models: here the current term structure follows from the SDE for  $r_t$ , which may be different from the actual term structure at time  $t$ . Well-known examples are the Vasicek model:

$$dr_t = (\theta - \alpha r_t)dt + \sigma d\tilde{W}_t,$$

and the Cox-Ingersoll-Ross model

$$dr_t = (\theta - \alpha r_t)dt + \sigma \sqrt{r_t} d\tilde{W}_t.$$

These are also called *equilibrium models*.

- *Exogenous* term structure models: here the drift  $\rho(r_t, t)$  is adjusted such that the current term structure is fitted exactly. The simplest example is the Ho-Lee model:

$$dr_t = \theta_t dt + \sigma d\tilde{W}_t,$$

where  $\theta_t$  is such that  $f(0, T) = r_0 - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 T^2 + \int_0^T \theta_s ds$  matches the initial term structure.

These are also called *no-arbitrage models*.

## Interest rate derivatives

We assume again the general single-factor model

$$dP(t, T) = r_t P(t, T) dt + \Sigma(t, T) P(t, T) d\tilde{W}_t,$$

where  $\tilde{W}_t$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Brownian motion. We consider:

- Forward contracts
- Coupon-bearing bonds
- Floating rate bonds
- Bond options
- Swaps
- Swaptions
- Caps, floors, collars
- Stock options with stochastic interest rates

Some of these turn out to have a price that depend only on  $P(t, T)$ , independent of model assumptions (i.e., independent of  $\Sigma(t, T)$ ).

## Forward contracts

The forward price of a zero-coupon  $T_2$ -bond, to be delivered at  $T_1$ , is simply  $F(t, T_1, T_2) = P(t, T_2)/P(t, T_1)$ .

## Coupon-bearing bonds

Suppose that a bond has a principal of 1 dollar, and pays coupons at times  $T_i = i\delta, i = 1, \dots, n$ , at rate  $k$ ; at time  $T_n$  the principal amount is repaid. This means that the payoff is  $k\delta$  at time  $T_i, i < n$ , and  $1 + k\delta$  at time  $T_n$ . Since the no-arbitrage value at time  $t$ , of a certain payment of  $x$  at time  $T_i$  is

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}} \left( \exp \left\{ - \int_t^{T_i} r_s ds \right\} x \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right) = P(t, T_i) x,$$

the bond value at time  $t$  between  $T_j$  and  $T_{j+1}$  becomes

$$V_t = \sum_{i=j+1}^n k\delta P(t, T_i) + P(t, T_n).$$

Since we expect that  $V_0 = 1$  (the par value), we find that the coupon rate should be

$$k = \frac{1 - P(t, T_n)}{\sum_{i=1}^n \delta P(t, T_i)}.$$

### *Floating-rate bonds*

Suppose that the coupon rate is not fixed, but equal to the LIBOR rate at the previous payment time  $T_{i-1}$ . The  $\delta$ -period LIBOR rate  $L(t)$  is defined by  $P(t, t + \delta)(1 + \delta L(t)) = 1$ , or

$$L(t) = \frac{1}{\delta} \left( \frac{1}{P(t, t + \delta)} - 1 \right).$$

Hence the payoff at time  $T_i$  is  $1/P(T_{i-1}, T_i) - 1$  for  $i < n$ , and the payoff at time  $T_n$  is  $1/P(T_{n-1}, T_n)$ .

Then the no-arbitrage value  $V_0$  of this bond should be 1. The replicating strategy is:

- At time 0, buy  $1/P(0, T_1)$  zero coupon bonds maturing at  $T_1$  (costing 1);
- At time  $T_1 = \delta$ , sell the  $T_1$ -bonds (yielding  $1/P(0, T_1)$ ), and buy  $1/P(T_1, T_2)$  of  $T_2$ -bonds (costing 1). Hence the payoff is  $1/P(0, T_1) - 1$ ;
- At time  $T_i$ , sell the current position of  $1/P(T_{i-1}, T_i)$  of  $T_i$ -bonds, and buy  $1/P(T_i, T_{i+1})$  of  $T_{i+1}$ -bonds.

This gives exactly the right payoff, and costs 1.

### *Bond options*

A European call option on a zero-coupon  $T$ -bond, struck at  $k$  with exercise time  $S$ , is worth

$$C_t = B_t \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}} \left( B_S^{-1} [P(S, T) - k]^+ \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right).$$

When the short rate  $r_t$  is a Gaussian process, then both  $B_t$  and  $P(t, T)$  will have a log-normal distribution. This can be used to obtain a Black-Scholes-type formula

$$C_t = P(t, S) \{ F(t, S, T) \Phi(d_1) - k \Phi(d_2) \},$$

where  $F(t, S, T)$  is the forward price, and

$$d_{1,2} = \frac{\log(F(t, S, T)/k)}{\bar{\sigma} \sqrt{S-t}} \pm \frac{1}{2} \bar{\sigma} \sqrt{S-t},$$

where  $\bar{\sigma}^2$  is the conditional variance (conditional on  $\mathcal{F}_t$ ) of  $\log(P(S, T)/P(t, T))/\sqrt{S-t}$ , i.e.,

$$\bar{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{S-t} \int_t^S \Sigma(u, T)^2 du.$$

For example, in the Ho-Lee model,  $\bar{\sigma} = \sigma(T - S)$ .

Options on coupon-bearing bonds will only have a closed-form price in single-factor models where  $r_t$  is a diffusion process. See Baxter & Rennie, p.170, or Hull, pp.568-570.

### Swaps

A fixed-versus variable interest rate swap (on a principal of 1) has payoff, at times  $T_i$ , equal to  $\delta[L(T_{i-1}) - k]$ , where  $k$  is the fixed swap rate. This means that the swap can be replicated by a portfolio of a short position in a fixed coupon bond, and a long position of a floating coupon bond. Since the swap should have initial value of zero, we have

$$1 - \sum_{i=1}^n k\delta P(t, T_i) - P(t, T_n) = 0,$$

or

$$k = \frac{1 - P(t, T_n)}{\sum_{i=1}^n \delta P(t, T_i)},$$

which is also the coupon rate that sets the bond value equal to par.

### Swaptions

A swaption is an option to enter a swap. Its value is the same as the value of a call option on a fixed-coupon bearing bond, struck at 1.

### Caps, floors, collars

For a cap, the payoff at  $T_i$  is  $\delta[L(T_{i-1}) - k]^+$ . The payoff of one caplet can be replicated as follows: Buy  $(1 + k\delta)$  put options on a  $T_i$ -bond, struck at  $1/(1 + k\delta)$  with exercise time  $T_{i-1}$ .

At time  $T_{i-1}$ , this yields  $(1 + k\delta)[1/(1 + k\delta) - P(T_{i-1}, T_i)]^+ = [1 - (1 + k\delta)P(T_{i-1}, T_i)]^+$ . Then put this money in the bank, to yield the LIBOR rate  $L(T_{i-1})$ , so that the payoff at time  $T_i$  becomes  $[1 + \delta L(T_{i-1}) - (1 + k\delta)]^+ = \delta[L(T_{i-1}) - k]^+$ . Thus the value of a cap can be derived from the value of the put option.

Similarly, the payoff of a floor is  $\delta[k - L(T_{i-1})]^+$  at time  $T_i$ , the value of which can be derived from a call option. A swap is essentially the sum of a cap and a floor, at the same rate  $k$ ; this results in a put-call parity. A collar is also a combination of a cap and a floor, but at different rates (cap rate bigger than floor rate).

### *Stock options with stochastic interest rates*

Suppose we wish to price a European call option on a stock with price  $S_t$ , and that the interest rate is stochastic. In particular, let

$$dS_t = r_t S_t dt + \sigma S_t d\tilde{W}_{1t}$$

$$dP(t, T) = r_t P(t, T) dt + \Sigma(t, T) P(t, T) d\tilde{W}_{2t},$$

and furthermore define the cash bond as usual. Here  $(\tilde{W}_{1t}, \tilde{W}_{2t})$  is a bivariate  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Brownian motion, with correlation  $\rho$ . If  $r_t$  is a Gaussian process, such that  $B_t$  and  $P(t, T)$  are log-normal, then the price of a European call option becomes

$$C_t = P(t, T) \{F(t, T)\Phi(d_1) - k\Phi(d_2)\},$$

where  $F(t, T) = P(t, T)^{-1}S_t$  is the forward stock price, and

$$d_{1,2} = \frac{\log(F(t, T)/k)}{\hat{\sigma}\sqrt{T-t}} \pm \frac{1}{2}\hat{\sigma}\sqrt{T-t},$$

where

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{T-t} \int_t^T [\sigma^2 + \Sigma(s, T)^2 - 2\rho\sigma\Sigma(s, T)] ds.$$

This result was derived by Merton (1973).