

## Solutions to Stochastic Calculus Final Exam, 1998-1999

1. (a) The tradable stock earns continuous dividend; reinvesting this dividend yields a portfolio with value  $\tilde{S}_t$ , which evolves as

$$d\tilde{S}_t = (\mu + \delta)\tilde{S}_t dt + \sigma\tilde{S}_t dW_t,$$

with  $\tilde{S}_0 = S_0$ ; this has as a solution

$$\tilde{S}_t = S_0 \exp\left([\mu + \delta - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2]t + \sigma W_t\right).$$

The discounted value equals

$$\begin{aligned} Z_t = B_t^{-1}\tilde{S}_t = e^{-rt}\tilde{S}_t &= S_0 \exp\left([\mu + \delta - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 - r]t + \sigma W_t\right) \\ &= S_0 \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t + \sigma\tilde{W}_t\right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{W}_t = W_t + \gamma t$ , with  $\gamma = (\mu + \delta - r)/\sigma$ . If  $W_t$  is a Brownian motion under  $\mathbb{P}$ , then there is a measure  $\mathbb{Q}$  under which  $\tilde{W}_t$  is a Brownian motion, and under that measure  $Z_t$  is a martingale. We thus find

$$\begin{aligned} S_t &= S_0 \exp\left([\mu - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2]t + \sigma W_t\right) \\ &= S_0 \exp\left([\mu - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2]t + \sigma\tilde{W}_t - \sigma\gamma t\right) \\ &= S_0 \exp\left([r - \delta - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2]t + \sigma\tilde{W}_t\right). \end{aligned}$$

- (b) It is easily checked that  $Z = -\tilde{W}_T/\sqrt{T}$ , and since  $\tilde{W}_T \sim N(0, T)$  under  $\mathbb{Q}$ , this implies  $Z \sim N(0, 1)$  under  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Similarly,  $Z = -W_T/\sqrt{T} - \gamma T/\sqrt{T} = -W_T/\sqrt{T} - \gamma\sqrt{T} \sim N(-\gamma\sqrt{T}, 1) = N(\sigma^{-1}[r - \mu - \delta]\sqrt{T}, 1)$  under  $\mathbb{P}$ .
- (c) We immediately find

$$\begin{aligned} c_2 &= \frac{\ln(S_0/2S_0) + [r - \delta - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2]T}{\sigma\sqrt{T}} = \frac{\ln(\frac{1}{2}) + [r - \delta - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2]T}{\sigma\sqrt{T}}, \\ d_2 &= \frac{\ln(S_0/S_0) + [r - \delta - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2]T}{\sigma\sqrt{T}} = \frac{[r - \delta - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2]T}{\sigma\sqrt{T}}. \end{aligned}$$

- (d) Using risk-neutral valuation implies, with a payoff function  $X_T = X_T(Z)$ , that

$$\begin{aligned} V_0 &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[e^{-rT}X_T|\mathcal{F}_0] \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-rT}X_T(z)\phi(z)dz. \end{aligned}$$

The payoff is

$$X_T(Z) = \begin{cases} 2S_0 & \text{if } Z \leq c_2 \\ S_T & \text{if } c_2 \leq Z \leq d_2 \\ S_0 & \text{if } Z \geq d_2 \end{cases}$$

Using

$$e^{-rT}S_T = S_0 \exp\left(-[\delta + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2]T + \sigma\tilde{W}_T\right) = S_0 \exp\left(-[\delta + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2]T - \sigma\sqrt{T}Z\right),$$

we find that  $V_0$  equals

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{-\infty}^{c_2} e^{-rT} 2S_0 \phi(z) dz + \int_{c_2}^{d_2} S_0 \exp\left(-[\delta + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2]T - \sigma\sqrt{T}z\right) \phi(z) dz + \int_{d_2}^{\infty} e^{-rT} S_0 \phi(z) dz \\
&= e^{-rT} 2S_0 \Phi(c_2) + \int_{c_2}^{d_2} S_0 \exp\left(-[\delta + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2]T - \sigma\sqrt{T}z\right) \phi(z) dz + e^{-rT} S_0 [1 - \Phi(d_2)] \\
&= e^{-rT} 2S_0 \Phi(c_2) + \int_{c_2}^{d_2} S_0 \exp\left(-[\delta + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2]T - \sigma\sqrt{T}z\right) \phi(z) dz + e^{-rT} S_0 \Phi(-d_2).
\end{aligned}$$

(e) The information so far is

$$\begin{aligned}
V_0 &= e^{-rT} 2S_0 \Phi(c_2) - e^{-\delta T} S_0 \Phi(c_1) + e^{-\delta T} S_0 \Phi(d_1) + e^{-rT} S_0 [1 - \Phi(d_2)] \\
&= e^{-rT} S_0 + \left\{ e^{-\delta T} S_0 \Phi(d_1) - e^{-rT} K_2 \Phi(d_2) \right\} - \left\{ e^{-\delta T} S_0 \Phi(c_1) - e^{-rT} K_1 \Phi(c_2) \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

where  $K_1 = S_0$  and  $K_2 = 2S_0$ . One can recognize this as

$$V_0 = e^{-rT} S_0 + C_1 - C_2,$$

where  $C_i$  is the value at time 0 of a call option struck at  $K_i$  and expiring at time  $T$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . This suggests  $\psi = e^{-rT} S_0$ ,  $\phi_1 = 1$  and  $\phi_2 = -1$ . The payoff of this portfolio is

$$\begin{aligned}
V_T &= S_0 + [S_T - S_0]^+ - [S_T - 2S_0]^+ \\
&= \min\{\max(S_T, S_0), 2S_0\},
\end{aligned}$$

which is indeed the required payoff. The values for  $\psi$ ,  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  could also have been guessed by making a graph of the payoff structure, and recognizing this as the sum of a constant  $S_0$ , the payoff of  $C_1$  and minus the payoff of  $C_2$ .

2. (a) The model for  $r_t$  implies  $r_s = r_t + \sigma(\tilde{W}_s - \tilde{W}_t)$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned}
\log(B_T^{-1} B_t) &= \log\left(\exp\left[-\int_0^T r_s ds\right] \exp\left[\int_0^t r_s ds\right]\right) \\
&= -\int_t^T r_s ds \\
&= -\int_t^T r_t ds - \sigma \int_t^T (\tilde{W}_s - \tilde{W}_t) ds \\
&= -r_t(T-t) - \sigma \int_t^T (\tilde{W}_s - \tilde{W}_t) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

(b) If  $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  then  $\mathbb{E}[\exp(X)] = \exp(\mu + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)$ . Applying this here yields

$$\begin{aligned}
P(t, T) &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[\exp(\log(B_T^{-1} B_t)) | \mathcal{F}_t] \\
&= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[\exp(\log(B_T^{-1} B_t))] \\
&= \exp(-r_t(T-t) + \frac{1}{6}\sigma^3[T-t]^3),
\end{aligned}$$

where the second equality holds because  $\tilde{W}_s - \tilde{W}_t$  is independent of  $\mathcal{F}_t$ .

(c) It's easiest to work backwards:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{(1 + \delta\bar{r})}{P(t, t + \delta)} [K - P(t, t + \delta)]^+ \\
&= \left[ \frac{1}{P(t, t + \delta)} - (1 + \delta\bar{r}) \right]^+ \\
&= [(1 + \delta L(t)) - (1 + \delta\bar{r})]^+ \\
&= \delta[L(t) - \bar{r}]^+ \\
&= X
\end{aligned}$$

(d) The idea is to use  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[\cdot] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\cdot|\mathcal{F}_t)]$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} V_0 &= (1 + \delta\bar{r})\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}(B_{t+\delta}^{-1}P(t, t + \delta))^{-1}[K - P(t, t + \delta)]^+|\mathcal{F}_t)] \\ &= (1 + \delta\bar{r})\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[P(t, t + \delta)^{-1}[K - P(t, t + \delta)]^+\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}(B_{t+\delta}^{-1}|\mathcal{F}_t)], \end{aligned}$$

because  $P(t, t + \delta)$  and  $K$  are contained in the information set  $\mathcal{F}_t$ . Using the fact that  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}(B_{t+\delta}^{-1}|\mathcal{F}_t) = B_t^{-1}\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}(B_{t+\delta}^{-1}B_t|\mathcal{F}_t) = B_t^{-1}P(t, t + \delta)$ , this leads to the required result.

(e)

$$\begin{aligned} d[B_t^{-1}P(t, t + \delta)] &= B_t^{-1}dP(t, t + \delta) + P(t, t + \delta)dB_t^{-1} \\ &= B_t^{-1}dP(t, t + \delta) - r_t B_t^{-1}P(t, t + \delta)dt \end{aligned}$$

Writing  $P(t, t + \delta) = f(t, r_t) = \exp(-r_t\delta + \frac{1}{6}\sigma^3\delta^3)$ , we have  $f_1 = 0$ ,  $f_2 = -\delta P(t, t + \delta)$  and  $f_{22} = \delta^2 P(t, t + \delta)$ , and thus

$$\begin{aligned} dP(t, t + \delta) &= -\delta P(t, t + \delta)dr_t + \frac{1}{2}\delta^2 P(t, t + \delta)(dr_t^2) \\ &= P(t, t + \delta)[\frac{1}{2}\delta^2\sigma^2 dt - \delta\sigma d\tilde{W}_t]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, letting  $Z_t = B_t^{-1}P(t, t + \delta)$ , we find

$$\begin{aligned} dZ_t &= Z_t[\frac{1}{2}\delta^2\sigma^2 dt - \delta\sigma d\tilde{W}_t] - r_t Z_t dt \\ &= Z_t[(\frac{1}{2}\delta^2\sigma^2 - r_t)dt - \delta\sigma d\tilde{W}_t]. \end{aligned}$$

(f) The previous answer implies  $\log Z_t = \log Z_0 - \int_0^t r_s ds - \delta\sigma\tilde{W}_t = -r_0\delta + \frac{1}{6}\sigma^3\delta^3 - r_0t - \sigma\int_0^t \tilde{W}_s ds - \delta\sigma\tilde{W}_t$ . This is a linear function of the Brownian motion process  $\tilde{W}_t$ , and therefore normally distributed. This is clarified even further if one writes the stochastic part as

$$\begin{aligned} -\sigma\int_0^t \tilde{W}_s ds - \delta\sigma\tilde{W}_t &= -\sigma\tilde{W}_t t + \sigma\int_0^t s d\tilde{W}_s - \delta\sigma\tilde{W}_t \\ &= \sigma\int_0^t (s - t - \delta)d\tilde{W}_s, \end{aligned}$$

which is a stochastic integral with non-stochastic quadratic variation, hence normally distributed (conditional on the initial interest rate  $r_0$ ). For  $B_t^{-1}K$ , note that

$$\log(B_t^{-1}K) = -\log(1 + \delta\bar{r}) - \int_0^t r_s ds = -\log(1 + \delta\bar{r}) - r_0t - \sigma\int_0^t \tilde{W}_s ds,$$

and the same argument as before shows that this is a normally distributed random variable, again conditional on  $r_0$ .

3. (a)  $\log(S_t) = f(t, S_t)$ , with  $f_1 = 0$ ,  $f_2 = 1/S_t$  and  $f_{22} = -1/S_t^2$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} d\log(S_t) &= \frac{1}{S_t}dS_t + \frac{1}{2S_t^2}(dS_t)^2 \\ &= rdt + \sigma(t, S_t)d\tilde{W}_t + \frac{1}{2}\sigma(t, S_t)^2 dt \\ &= [r + \frac{1}{2}\sigma(t, S_t)^2]dt + \sigma(t, S_t)d\tilde{W}_t. \end{aligned}$$

(b) The general solution is

$$\log(S_t) = \log(S_0) + rt + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \sigma(s, S_s)^2 ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s, S_s)d\tilde{W}_s.$$

If  $\sigma(\cdot, \cdot)$  is a function of  $S_t$ , then the drift is stochastic, and so is the quadratic variation of the stochastic integral part. Hence there is no reason to assume that the distribution of  $\log(S_t)$  is normal. However, if  $\sigma$  is a deterministic function of  $t$  only, then the drift becomes non-stochastic, as well as the quadratic variation. In that case

$$\log(S_t) \sim N\left(\left\{\log(S_0) + rt + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \sigma(s)^2 ds\right\}, \int_0^t \sigma(s)^2 ds\right).$$

(c) The basic idea of the Euler approximation is the following. We have

$$S_{t_{i+1}} = S_{t_i} + \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} rS_t dt + \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \sigma(t, S_t) S_t d\tilde{W}_t.$$

For small  $\delta = t_{i+1} - t_i$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} rS_t dt &\approx rS_{t_i} \delta \\ \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \sigma(t, S_t) S_t d\tilde{W}_t &\approx \sigma(t_i, S_{t_i}) S_{t_i} [\tilde{W}_{t_i+\delta} - \tilde{W}_{t_i}]. \end{aligned}$$

This approximation holds in the sense that if  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ , the cumulated right-hand side will converge in mean square to the cumulated left-hand side. The Euler approximation in our case thus is

$$\begin{aligned} S_{t_{i+1}} &= S_{t_i} + rS_{t_i} \delta + \sigma(t_i, S_{t_i}) S_{t_i} [\tilde{W}_{t_i+\delta} - \tilde{W}_{t_i}] \\ &= S_{t_i} \left(1 + r\delta + \sigma(t_i, S_{t_i}) [\tilde{W}_{t_i+\delta} - \tilde{W}_{t_i}]\right). \end{aligned}$$

(d) A general binomial tree would be

$$S_{t_{i+1}} = \begin{cases} S_{t_i} u(t_i, S_{t_i}) & \text{with probability } p(t_i, S_{t_i}) \\ S_{t_i} d(t_i, S_{t_i}) & \text{with probability } 1 - p(t_i, S_{t_i}) \end{cases}$$

Here  $u(\cdot, \cdot)$ ,  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $p(\cdot, \cdot)$  can in general be functions of  $t_i$  and  $S_{t_i}$ . The correspondence we wish to obtain is to match the first and second conditional moment of  $S_{t_{i+1}}$  (we abbreviate  $u_i = u(t_i, S_{t_i})$ , etc.)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}(S_{t_{i+1}} | S_{t_i}) &= S_{t_i} [u_i p_i + d_i (1 - p_i)], \\ \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}(S_{t_{i+1}}^2 | S_{t_i}) &= S_{t_i}^2 [u_i^2 p_i + d_i^2 (1 - p_i)] \end{aligned}$$

(by this we also match the conditional variance). If we can find an explicit formula for the left-hand side in both equations, then we can solve them for the two unknowns  $p_i$  and  $u_i$ , choosing  $d_i = 1/u_i$ . When  $\sigma$  is only a function of time, then we will be able to find these two moments from the log-normality of  $S_t$ . In general, this has to be done case by case.

We can also approximate the first two conditional moments from the Euler approximation. In our case that would become

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}(S_{t_{i+1}} | S_{t_i}) &= (1 + r\delta) S_{t_i}, \\ \text{var}_{\mathbb{Q}}(S_{t_{i+1}} | S_{t_i}) &= \sigma(t_i, S_{t_i})^2 S_{t_i}^2 \delta. \end{aligned}$$