

Lecture outline:

1. Introduction:

2. GMM estimation in correctly specified non-linear dynamic models - part I.
3. GMM estimation in correctly specified non-linear dynamic models - part II.
4. Hypothesis testing.
5. Finite sample behaviour and moment selection.

Today:

- Why GMM?
- Statistical antecedents.
- Contemporary example.
- IV estimator in linear regression model.

1. Introduction

Hansen (1982, *Econometrica*) introduced the Generalized Method of Moments (GMM) estimator.

Two advantages:

- Provides a general framework for considering issues of statistical inference because it encompasses many estimators of interest in econometrics.
- Provides a computationally convenient method for the estimation of nonlinear dynamic models without complete specification of the probability distribution of the data.

1.1 Statistical antecedents:

Method of Moments (Pearson, 1893, 1894, 1895)

Suppose we wish to estimate the population mean, μ , and the population variance, σ^2 , of a r.v. v_t .

These two parameters satisfy the *population moment conditions*

$$\begin{aligned} E[v_t] - \mu &= 0 \\ E[v_t^2] - (\sigma^2 + \mu^2) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Pearson's method based on analogous sample moment conditions.

$$\begin{aligned} T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^T v_t - \hat{\mu} &= 0 \\ T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^T v_t^2 - (\hat{\sigma}^2 + \hat{\mu}^2) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\hat{\mu} = T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^T v_t$$
$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^T (v_t - \hat{\mu})^2$$

Key idea: population moment conditions provide information upon which estimation of parameters can be based.

Minimum chi-square (Neyman and Pearson, 1928)

- Outcome of an experiment lies in one of k mutually exclusive and exhaustive groups.
- $p_i = P(\text{outcome lies in the } i^{\text{th}} \text{ group})$.
- $H_0 : p_i = h(i, \theta_0)$

For given θ_0 , Karl Pearson had shown that under H_0

$$GF_T(\theta_0) = T \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{[\hat{p}_i - h(i; \theta_0)]^2}{\hat{p}_i} \xrightarrow{d} \chi_{k-1}^2$$

Now suppose θ_0 unknown.

To perform inference need estimate of θ_0

$$\hat{\theta}_T = \operatorname{argmin} GF_T(\theta)$$

- *minimum chi-square estimator*

Connection to moments:

Let $\{D_t(i); i = 1, 2, \dots, k; t = 1, 2, \dots, T\}$ satisfy:

$D_t(i) = 1$ if t^{th} outcome in i^{th} group

$D_t(i) = 0$ else

$$\Rightarrow P(D_t(i) = 1) = h(i; \theta_0)$$

$$E[D_t(i)] = h(i; \theta_0)$$

$\Rightarrow k$ population moment conditions

$$E \begin{bmatrix} D_t(1) - h(1; \theta_0) \\ D_t(2) - h(2; \theta_0) \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ D_t(k) - h(k; \theta_0) \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

Sample analogs are given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{p}_1 - h(1; \theta) \\ \hat{p}_2 - h(2; \theta) \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \hat{p}_k - h(k; \theta) \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

$$GF_T(\theta) = T \times$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{p}_1 - h(1; \theta) \\ \hat{p}_2 - h(2; \theta) \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \hat{p}_k - h(k; \theta) \end{bmatrix}' \begin{bmatrix} \hat{p}_1^{-1} & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{p}_2^{-1} & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \hat{p}_k^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{p}_1 - h(1; \theta) \\ \hat{p}_2 - h(2; \theta) \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \hat{p}_k - h(k; \theta) \end{bmatrix}$$

$\Rightarrow GF_T(\theta) =$ a quadratic form in the sample-moment condition.

minimum chi-square estimator $= \theta$ which is *closest* to solving the sample moment conditions in the metric of $GF_T(\theta)$.

Generalized Method of Moments

Population Moment Condition:

$$E[f(v_t, \theta_0)] = 0 \quad \text{for all } t$$

Generalized Method of Moments Estimator:

$$\hat{\theta}_T = \operatorname{argmin}_{\theta \in \Theta} Q_T(\theta)$$

where

$$Q_T(\theta) = T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^T f(v_t, \theta)' W_T T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^T f(v_t, \theta)$$

and W_T is psd and $W_T \xrightarrow{p} W$, pd.

- $W_T \sim \text{psd} \Rightarrow Q_T(\theta) \geq 0$ and $Q_T(\hat{\theta}_T) = 0$ if $T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^T f(v_t, \hat{\theta}_T) = 0$
- $W \sim \text{pd} \Rightarrow Q_T(\hat{\theta}_T) = 0$ iff $T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^T f(v_t, \hat{\theta}_T) = 0$ in the limit as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

1.2 Contemporary example

Consumption based asset pricing model: Hansen & Singleton (1982)

A representative agent chooses consumption to maximize his/her expected discounted utility

$$E\left[\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \delta^i U(c_{t+i}) \mid \mathcal{I}_t\right]$$

subject to

$$c_t + p_t q_t = r_t q_{t-1} + w_t$$

for all t , where

- r_{t+1} is the return on the asset in period $t + 1$
- p_t is the price of the asset in period t
- c_t is consumption in period t

- \mathcal{I}_t is the information set available to the agent in period t

Optimal path of consumption and investment satisfies

$$p_t U'(c_t) = \delta E[r_t U'(c_{t+1}) | \mathcal{I}_t] \quad (5)$$

for all t .

Equation (5) can be rewritten as

$$E[\delta(r_{t+1}/p_t)\{U'(c_{t+1})/U'(c_t)\}|-t] - 1 = 0 \quad (6)$$

Hansen & Singleton (1982) set

$$U(c_t) = c_t^\gamma / \gamma$$

and so (6) becomes

$$E[\delta(r_{t+1}/p_t)(c_{t+1}/c_t)^{\gamma-1}|-t] - 1 = 0 \quad (7)$$

Two parameters to be estimated: (γ, δ) .

Model implies following population moment condition:

Let

$$e_t(\gamma, \delta) = \delta(r_{t+1}/p_t)(c_{t+1}/c_t)^{\gamma-1} - 1$$

then (7) \Rightarrow for any vector $z_t \in \mathcal{F}_t$

$$E[e_t(\gamma, \delta) z_t] = E[E[e_t(\gamma, \delta) | \mathcal{F}_t] z_t] = 0$$

Consider:

$$y_t = x_t' \theta_0 + u_t, \quad t = 1, 2, \dots, T$$

- x_t is a $(p \times 1)$ vector of observed explanatory variables;
- y_t is scalar, observed;
- u_t is the unobserved error term;
- z_t is a $(q \times 1)$ vector of instruments.

Problem: to estimate θ_0 .

(i) *Population moment condition and identification:*

Assumption 2.1: Strict Stationarity

The random vector $v_t = (x'_t, z'_t, u_t)'$ is a strictly stationary process.

Let $u_t(\theta) = y_t - x'_t\theta$.

Assumption 2.2: Population Moment Condition

$$E[z_t u_t(\theta_0)] = 0.$$

Also need: *Identification condition:*

$$E[z_t u_t(\theta)] \neq 0 \text{ for all } \theta \neq \theta_0$$

$$\begin{aligned} E[z_t u_t(\theta)] &= E[z_t u_t(\theta_0)] + E[z_t x'_t](\theta_0 - \theta) \\ &= E[z_t x'_t](\theta_0 - \theta) \end{aligned}$$

Assumption 2.3: Identification Condition

$$\text{rank}\{E[z_t x'_t]\} = p.$$

Relationship between q and p important:

- $q < p - \theta_0$ is under- (or un-) identified
- $q = p - \theta_0$ is just identified
- $q > p - \theta_0$ is over- identified

(ii) *The estimator:*

$$\hat{\theta}_T = \operatorname{argmin}_{\theta \in \Theta} Q_T(\theta)$$

where

$$Q_T(\theta) = \{T^{-1}u(\theta)'Z\}W_T\{T^{-1}Z'u(\theta)\}$$

First order conditions:

$$(T^{-1}X'Z)W_T(T^{-1}Z'y) = (T^{-1}X'Z)W_T(T^{-1}Z'X)\hat{\theta}_T$$

\Rightarrow

$$\hat{\theta}_T = \{X'ZW_TZ'X\}^{-1}X'ZW_TZ'y$$

(iii) *Identifying and overidentifying restrictions:*

Return to first order conditions:

$$(T^{-1}X'Z)W_T T^{-1}Z'u(\hat{\theta}_T) = 0$$

\Rightarrow GMM = MM based on:

$$E[x_t z_t'] W E[z_t u_t(\theta_0)] = 0$$

- $q = p$: GMM = MM based on $E[z_t u_t(\theta_0)] = 0$
- $q > p$: GMM sets p linear combinations of $E[z_t u_t(\theta_0)]$ equal 0

Rewrite population FOC:

$$F' W^{1/2} E[z_t u_t(\theta_0)] = 0$$

where $F' = E[x_t z_t'] W^{1/2'} \Rightarrow$

$$F(F'F)^{-1} F' W^{1/2} E[z_t u_t(\theta_0)] = 0$$

\sim *identifying restrictions*

Remainder is

$$(I_q - F(F'F)^{-1}F')W^{1/2}E[z_t u_t(\theta_0)] = 0$$

\sim *overidentifying restrictions.*

Sample analogs:

- Identifying restrictions satisfied at $\hat{\theta}_T$
- Overidentifying restrictions: $W_T^{1/2}T^{-1}Z'u(\hat{\theta}_T)$

Now,

$$Q_T(\hat{\theta}_T) = \|W_T^{1/2}T^{-1}Z'u(\hat{\theta}_T)\|$$

$\Rightarrow Q_T(\hat{\theta}_T)$ measures how far the sample is from satisfying the overidentifying restrictions.

(iv) *Asymptotic properties:*

It can be shown that:

- $\hat{\theta}_T$ is consistent for θ_0
- $(\hat{\theta}_{T,i} - \theta_{0,i}) / \sqrt{\hat{V}_{T,ii}/T} \stackrel{a}{\sim} N(0, 1)$ where
 - $\hat{V}_T = (X'ZW_TZ'X)^{-1}X'Z\hat{S}Z'X(X'ZW_TZ'X)^{-1}$
 - $\hat{S}_T \xrightarrow{p} \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var}[T^{-1/2}Z'u]$

(v) *Covariance matrix estimation:*

For this model:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}[T^{-1/2}Z'u] &= E\left[\left\{T^{-1/2} \sum_{t=1}^T z_t u_t\right\} \left\{T^{-1/2} \sum_{t=1}^T z_t u_t\right\}'\right] \\ &= T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^T E[u_t^2 z_t z_t'] \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\hat{S}_T = T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^T u(\hat{\theta}_T)^2 z_t z_t'$$

(vi) *Two step estimator:*

Notice that asymptotic variance depends on weighting matrix.

Optimal choice: $W_T = \hat{S}_T^{-1}$

Problem: Need $\hat{\theta}_T$ to construct \hat{S}_T

Two-step procedure:

1. Estimate with sub-optimal $W_T \rightarrow \hat{\theta}_T(\mathbf{1}) \rightarrow \hat{S}_T(\mathbf{1})$.
2. Estimate with $W_T = \hat{S}_T(\mathbf{1})^{-1}$.

(vii) *Model specification test:*

- Identifying restrictions satisfied in sample regardless of whether model is correct.
- Overidentifying restrictions not imposed in sample.

The *overidentifying restrictions test*

$$J_T = TQ_T(\hat{\theta}_T) = T^{-1/2}u(\hat{\theta}_T)'Z \hat{S}_T^{-1} T^{-1/2}Z'u(\hat{\theta}_T)$$

Under $H_0 : E[z_t u_t(\theta_0)] = 0$

$$J_T \xrightarrow{d} \chi_{q-p}^2$$

Lecture outline:

1. Introduction

2. GMM estimation in correctly specified nonlinear dynamic models - part I.

3. GMM estimation in correctly specified nonlinear dynamic models - part II.

4. Hypothesis testing.

5. Finite sample behaviour and moment selection.

Today:

- Identification
- The Estimator
- Identifying and overidentifying restrictions
- Asymptotic properties
- Covariance Matrix estimation

1. Population moment condition and identification

First impose condition on data:

Strict Stationarity

The $(r \times 1)$ random vectors $\{v_t; -\infty < t < \infty\}$ form a strictly stationary process with sample space $\mathbf{V} \subseteq \mathfrak{R}^r$.

Population Moment Condition

Let θ_0 be a vector of unknown parameters which are to be estimated, v_t be a vector of random variables and $f(\cdot)$ a vector of functions then a population moment condition takes the form

$$E[f(v_t, \theta_0)] = 0 \quad \text{for all } t. \quad (1)$$

Global Identification

The parameter vector θ_0 is globally identified by the population moment condition in Assumption 3.3 if and only if $E[f(v_t, \bar{\theta})] \neq 0$ for all $\bar{\theta} \in \Theta$ such that $\bar{\theta} \neq \theta_0$.

“global” \Rightarrow pmc only holds at one value in the *entire* parameter space.

Identification failures can sometimes be diagnosed, but it is often difficult.

2. GMM estimation

GMM minimand is:

$$Q_T(\theta) = \left\{ T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^T f(v_t, \theta) \right\}' W_T \left\{ T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^T f(v_t, \theta) \right\}$$

where W_T is the weighting matrix.

GMM estimator of θ_0 is

$$\hat{\theta}_T = \operatorname{argmin}_{\theta \in \Theta} Q_T(\theta)$$

First order conditions for this minimization imply

$$\partial Q_T(\hat{\theta}_T) / \partial \theta = 0$$

and so

$$\left\{ T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{\partial f(v_t, \hat{\theta}_T)}{\partial \theta'} \right\}' W_T \left\{ T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^T f(v_t, \hat{\theta}_T) \right\} = 0$$

3. Identifying and overidentifying restrictions

GMM based on $E[f(v_t, \theta_0)] = 0$ is MM based on

$$F(\theta_0)'W^{1/2}E[f(v_t, \theta_0)] = 0$$

where $F(\theta_0) = W^{1/2}E[\partial f(v_t, \theta_0)/\partial \theta']$.

If $p = q$ then GMM = MM based on

$$E[f(v_t, \theta_0)] = 0$$

If $q > p$ then GMM is MM based on:

$$F(\theta_0)[F(\theta_0)'F(\theta_0)]^{-1}F(\theta_0)'W^{1/2}E[f(v_t, \theta_0)] = 0$$

\sim identifying restrictions

Remainder is:

$$\{I_q - F(\theta_0)[F(\theta_0)'F(\theta_0)]^{-1}F(\theta_0)'\}W^{1/2}E[f(v_t, \theta_0)] =$$

\sim overidentifying restrictions

So GMM effects a decomposition on the population moment condition:

$$\text{pmc} = \text{id.res} + \text{overid. res}$$

id.res \rightarrow estimation

overid.res \rightarrow remainder

Roles reflected in sample analogs.

Sample analog to id.res are satisfied at $\hat{\theta}_T$ by construction.

Sample analog to overid. res not satisfied but

$$W_T^{1/2} g_T(\hat{\theta}_T) = \{I_q - P_T(\hat{\theta}_T)\} W_T^{1/2} g_T(\hat{\theta}_T)$$

$\Rightarrow Q_T(\hat{\theta}_T)$ measures of how far the sample is from satisfying the overidentifying restrictions.

4. Asymptotic properties of $\hat{\theta}_T$

Consistency: $\hat{\theta}_T \xrightarrow{p} \theta_0$

Proof based on population analog to $Q_T(\theta)$,

$$Q_0(\theta) = \{E[f(v_t, \theta)]\}' W \{E[f(v_t, \theta)]\}$$

- $\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} |Q_T(\theta) - Q_0(\theta)| \xrightarrow{p} 0$.
- $pmc \Rightarrow Q_0(\theta_0) = 0$
- $W = \text{p.d} + \text{ident.} \Rightarrow Q_0(\theta) > 0$ for all $\theta \neq \theta_0$.

Asymptotic normality: $T^{1/2}(\hat{\theta}_T - \theta_0) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, MSM')$
where

- $M = (G_0'WG_0)^{-1}G_0'W$.
- $G_0 = E[\partial f(v_t, \theta_0)/\partial \theta']$
- $S = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} Var[T^{1/2}g_T(\theta_0)]$

MVT: $g_T(\hat{\theta}_T) = g_T(\theta_0) + G_T(\hat{\theta}_T, \theta_0, \lambda_T)(\hat{\theta}_T - \theta_0)$
Premultiply both sides by $G_T(\hat{\theta}_T)'W_T$, use FOC
and rearrange to give:

$$T^{1/2}(\hat{\theta}_T - \theta_0) = M_T T^{1/2}g_T(\theta_0) + o_p(1)$$

5. Covariance matrix estimation

Need estimate of long run variance S .

$$S = \Gamma_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\Gamma_i + \Gamma_i')$$

where $\Gamma_j = E[(f_t - E[f_t])(f_{t-j} - E[f_{t-j}])']$

Consider three cases.

Case 1: $f_t \sim$ serially uncorrelated sequence

$$\hat{S}_{SU} = T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{f}_t \hat{f}_t'$$

where $\hat{f}_t = f(v_t, \hat{\theta}_T)$.

Case 2: $f_t \sim$ invertible VARMA:

Estimate the model

$$\hat{f}_t = A_1 \hat{f}_{t-1} + \dots + A_k \hat{f}_{t-k} + e_t(k)$$

by OLS. Then

$$\hat{S}_{VARMA} = \left\{ I_q - \sum_{i=1}^k \hat{A}_i(k) \right\}^{-1} \hat{\Sigma}(k) \left\{ I_q - \sum_{i=1}^k \hat{A}_i(k) \right\}^{-1'}$$

where $\hat{\Sigma}(k) = T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{e}_t(k) \hat{e}_t(k)'$.

Choice of k :

- Estimate for $k = 0, 1, \dots, K$ and choose using information criterion.
- $K = O(T^{1/3})$

Case 3: heteroscedasticity autocorrelation covariance estimators

$$\hat{S}_{HAC} = \hat{\Gamma}_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{b(T)} \omega_{iT} (\hat{\Gamma}_i + \hat{\Gamma}'_i)$$

where

$$\hat{\Gamma}_i = T^{-1} \sum_{t=i+1}^T \hat{f}_t \hat{f}'_{t-i}$$

- choice of kernel: e.g. $\omega_{i,T} = 1 - i/[b(T) + 1]$
- choice of bandwidth: $b(T) \rightarrow \infty$ and $b(T) = o(T^{1/2})$

Evidence suggests HAC estimators do not perform well if f_t has slowly decaying autocovariances *i.e.* a strong autoregressive component.

→ rewhitening and recolouring

Estimate the model

$$\hat{f}_t = A_1 \hat{f}_{t-1} + \dots + A_k \hat{f}_{t-k} + e_t(k)$$

by OLS. Then

$$\hat{S}_{PWRC} = \left\{ I_q - \sum_{i=1}^k \hat{A}_i(k) \right\}^{-1} \hat{\Sigma}_{HAC} \left\{ I_q - \sum_{i=1}^k \hat{A}_i(k) \right\}^{-1'}$$

where $\hat{\Sigma}_{HAC}$ is HAC estimator based on $\hat{e}_t(k)$.

Numerical optimization

Three important aspects of to numerical optimization routines.

- The *starting value* for θ , $\bar{\theta}(1)$.
- The *iterative search method* by which the candidate value of $\hat{\theta}$ is updated on the i^{th} step.
- The *convergence criterion* used to judge when the minimum has been reached.

Example of iterative routine: Newton–Raphson (NR) algorithm

$$\hat{\theta}_T^{(j)} = \hat{\theta}_T^{(j-1)} - \left[\frac{\partial^2 Q_T^{(2)}(\hat{\theta}_T^{(j-1)})}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'} \right]^{-1} \frac{\partial Q_T^{(2)}(\hat{\theta}_T^{(j-1)})}{\partial \theta}$$

Example of Convergence criterion:

$$\|\hat{\theta}_T^{(j)} - \hat{\theta}_T^{(j-1)}\| < \epsilon$$

1. Two - step and iterated GMM estimation

Recall that: $T^{1/2}(\hat{\theta}_T - \theta_0) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, MSM')$
where

- $M = (G'_0 W G_0)^{-1} G'_0 W.$
- $G_0 = E[\partial f(v_t, \theta_0) / \partial \theta']$
- $S = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var}[T^{1/2} g_T(\theta_0)]$

If $p = q$ then $MSM' = (G'_0 S^{-1} G_0)^{-1}$
– independent of $W.$

If $p < q$ then asymptotic variance depends on W .

Optimal choice of W ? Choice that minimizes variance.

Optimal weighting matrix: $W = S^{-1}$

$$\rightarrow MSM' = (G_0' S^{-1} G_0)^{-1}$$

How can we obtain an estimator that has this variance?

Two-step procedure:

1. Estimate with sub-optimal $W_T \rightarrow \hat{\theta}_T(1) \rightarrow \hat{S}_T(1)$.
2. Estimate with $W_T = \hat{S}_T(1)^{-1} \rightarrow \hat{\theta}_T(1)$.

Can iterate further

1. $\hat{\theta}_T(i-1) \rightarrow \hat{S}_T(i-1)$
2. Estimate with $W_T = \hat{S}_T(i-1)^{-1} \rightarrow \hat{\theta}_T(i)$.

Continue until $\|\hat{\theta}_T(i-1) - \hat{\theta}_T(i)\| < \epsilon$ or $i = i_{max}$.

Numerical illustration: Hansen & Singleton (1982)
CBAPM

$$\text{pmc} : E[e_t(\gamma, \delta) z_t] = 0$$

where

$$e_t(\gamma, \delta) = \delta(r_{t+1}/p_t)(c_{t+1}/c_t)^{\gamma-1} - 1$$

and

- Single asset = equally weighted NYSE index (EWR) or value weighted NYSE index (VWR)
- c_t = aggregate per capita consumption
- $z_t = (1, c_t/c_{t-1}, c_{t-1}/c_{t-2}, r_t/p_{t-1}, r_{t-1}/p_{t-2})'$
- Sample: 1960.1–1991.12

As before for first step use $W_T = 10^5 I_5$ and $(T^{-1}Z'Z)^{-1}$.